

<p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; font-size: 1.2em;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<p>Application No. 10/827,090</p>	<p>Applicant(s) NOLLE ET AL.</p>	
	<p>Examiner SRINIVASA R. REDDIVALAM</p>	<p>Art Unit 2619</p>	

All Participants: (1) SRINIVASA R. REDDIVALAM. (3) ____.

(2) JOHN L. DOUGHTY. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 22 September 2008 **Time:** 2:50 PM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
11-18

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's representative authorized an entry of Examiner's amendment to make a minor correction for claims 11-18 i.e. to change the status identifier of these claims from 'Withdrawn' to 'Canceled'.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Chirag G. Shah/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2619

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)